

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Inventor : Rene Bitsch	Conf. No. 4476
Appln. No.: 10/674,834	Group Art Unit: 2162
Filed : September 30, 2003	
For : LABEL SYSTEM-TRANSLATION OF TEXT AND MULTI-LANGUAGE SUPPORT AT RUNTIME AND DESIGN	Examiner: Anh Ly
Docket No.: M61.12-0531	

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**VIA ELECTRONIC FILING
MARCH 20, 2008**

On March 18, 2008, Mai-Tram D. Lauer, Reg. No. 43,589, and Christopher L. Holt, Reg. No. 45,844, conducted a telephonic interview with Examiner Jean M. Correlius and Examiner An Ly.

Claims 1, 18, 19 and 41 were discussed.

The following topics were discussed:

1. Sugimoto does not teach searching a label database for matching text. (claim 1). Ms. Lauer pointed out that Sugimoto teaches only information searching, but not text matching, as claimed. The Examiners indicated that they would take a closer look at the reference.
2. Sugimoto and/or VanDenAvond do not teach a computer-implemented user interface element configured to identify a control within a user interface. (claims 1, 41). Mr. Holt indicated that it does not make sense to combine the references because they refer to completely different interpretations of the term "label." Sugimoto refers to a computer user interface element, as does the application. VanDenAvond, in contrast, refers to box sticker labels. Mr. Holt asked the Examiners to consider issuing a new non-final office action, wherein VanDenAvond is not used as a reference because it is irrelevant. The

Examiners indicated that they would take a closer look at this issue.

3. Sugimoto does not teach receiving data indicating how the new label is to be used. (claims 10, 19, 41). Mr. Holt asked the Examiners to consider the limitations of dependent claim 19.
4. Sugimoto and/or VanDenAvond does not teach associating an ID of a selected label with a new label. (claim 18). Ms. Lauer asked the Examiners to consider the limitations of dependent claim 18.

In conclusion, the Examiners indicated that they would review the case for possibly allowable dependent claims. Moreover, the Examiners indicated that they would consider whether VanDenAvond is an appropriate reference. Additionally, the Examiners indicated that they would consider issuing a new non-final office action.

The Applicant will wait to receive an Advisory Action.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTMAN, CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A.

By: Christopher L. Holt/

Christopher L. Holt, Reg. No. 45,844
900 Second Avenue South, Suite 1400
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-3319
Phone: (612) 334-3222 Fax: (612) 334-3312

CLH:MDL:rkp